



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/618,101

07/11/2003

Joseph R. Byrum

MSUT:008US

3878

73905

7590

05/28/2009

SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

P.O. BOX 061080

SOUTH WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER

CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

ROBINSON, KEITH O NEAL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1638

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/28/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/618,101	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BYRUM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> KEITH O. ROBINSON	<b>Art Unit</b> 1638	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KEITH O. ROBINSON. (3) RON LABY.

(2) DAVID KRUSE. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wilcox. 1998. Crop Sci. 38:1536-1540; Wilcox. 1998. Crop Sci 38:900; Wilcox et al. 1995. 35:1036-1041.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the negative correlation between soybean protein and oil; Table 1 of Wilcox et al 1995 and Figure 3, page 1538 of Wilcox 1998 as evidence that art teaches no expectation of success in creating the claimed invention;.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Keith O. Robinson/	
---------------------	--